

Algorithms and Data Structures Graphs 4: Minimal Spanning Trees

Marius Kloft

Die Energiewende

- Electricity is created in many more places than before
- Electricity is consumed in many places
- Places of production are not evenly distributed across the country
- Many say we need to build new electricity highways



Die Energiewende

- How can we do this as cheap as possible?
- Not all connections are possible
 - Mountains, rivers, ...
- Different connections have different costs



Die Energiewende

 Requirement for a solution: Every city and every plant must be connected to the network



- Given an undirected, positively weighted, connected G=(V,E)
- Find a subset E'⊆E such that cost(E') is minimal and G'=(V, E') is connected
 - cost(E'): Sum of the edge weights
- E' (or G') is called a minimum spanning tree (MST) for G



Example 1





Example 2





First Algorithm

- Let's try greedy
 - Sort edges by weight
 - Add edges to E' whenever it connects a new node to something
- Hmm



Second Algorithm

- Let's try greedy another way
 - Sort edges by weight
 - Add cheapest edge to E'
 - Add edges to E' in ascending order such that every new edge connects a new node with the graph induced by E'
 - Repeat until all nodes are connected
- Cost = 42
 - Is this optimal?
 - Does this always work?
 - How can we implement this algorithm efficiently?



- First algorithms for computing MST date back to the 1920s
- Algorithms are not very difficult; much research went into efficient implementations
- Actually, MSTs can be computed in a greedy manner
- Algorithms need not grow only one component; in general, we may have "connected islands" that all get connected to one component in the end
- In each step, one needs to decide which edge to add next to which island (or which edges not to add)
- What are criteria for adding / not adding edges?

- Minimal Spanning Trees
- Basic Properties
 - Tree
 - Cuts
 - Cycles
- Algorithms
- Implementation

• Lemma

Let G=(V, E) and let $E' \subseteq E$ be the subset of E with minimal cost such that G', the graph induced by E', is connected. Then G' is a tree (called "minimal spanning tree", MST).

- Proof
 - Recall: A (undirected) tree is a undirected, connected acyclic graph
 - By definition, G' is connected and undirected
 - Need to show that G' contains no cycle



Proof: MST is a Tree

- Imagine G' had a cycle. Then G' cannot have minimal cost
 - because removing any of the edges of the cycle from E' would create a subset E" that has less cost (since we assumed all edge weights to be positive), and the induced subgraph would still be connected
- Contradiction



• Remark: If all edge weights are distinct, the MST is unique

Cuts & Crossing Bridges

• Definition

Let G=(V, E). A cut is a binary partition of V into sets V_1 , V_2 such that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ and $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$.



Cuts & Crossing Bridges

• Definition

Let G=(V, E). A cut is a binary partition of V into sets V_1 , V_2 such that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ and $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$.

Definition

Let G=(V, E) and V_1 , V_2 be a cut of V. Any edge connecting a node in V_1 to a node in V_2 is called crossing bridge. We denote the set of all crossing bridges by F.



Cut Property on Minimal Crossing Bridges

- Lemma (Cut Property) Let G=(V, E), let V₁, V₂ be a cut of V with crossing bridges F. Let F' be those edges of F with minimal weight. Then:
 - Any MST G' of G must contain at least one f'∈F'
 - 2) Every f'∈F' is contained in at least one MST of G
- Remarks
 - This holds for arbitrary cuts a very powerful statement



- 1) Every MST G' contains at least one $f' \in F'$
 - Assume the contrary (G' has no such f') and let f'∈F'
 - Still, G' is connected, so it must contain at least one of the crossing edges from F
 - (a) Assume G' contains only one $f \in F$
 - f must have a higher weight than f' because – by assumption - f∉F'
 - Furthermore, because by assumption f is the only crossing edge, V₁ and V₂ must be connected in themselves
 - Thus, removing f and adding some f'∈F' creates a cheaper MST, so G' cannot be minimal contradiction.



1) Every MST G' contains at least one $f' \in F'$

- (b) The proof is similar if G' contains multiple f_i∈F
 - Write f'=(v,v')
 - Since G' is connected there exists a path p in G' from v to v'
 - Since f' is a crossing bridge, v and v' must lie on opposite sides of the cut
 - So the path p contains a crossing bridge $f_i{\in}\mathsf{F}$
 - Removing f_i from MST G' breaks G' into two components, and adding f' re-connects them
 - resulting in cheaper MST (since f' has smaller weight than f_i because $f_i ∉ F'$)
 - Contradiction



Proof, 2)

(2) Every $f' \in F'$ is contained in at least one MST of G

- Imagine f' is not contained in any MST
- Let G' be such an MST
- Proof uses analogue argument as in (1):
 - Consider $f \in F$ connecting V_1 and V_2
 - Removing f_i from G' breaks G' into two components, and adding f' re-connects them, resulting in G" with equal or cheaper cost as G'
 - Thus G" is an MST Contradiction



 For a cut V₁, V₂, an MST G' may (have to) contain more than one crossing edge (but one must have minimal weight)



- Minimal Spanning Trees
- Basic Properties
 - Tree
 - Cuts
 - Cycles
- Algorithms
- Implementation

- Lemma (cycle property)
 - Let G=(V, E) and G'=(V, E') with E'=E|e for some edge e such that G' still is connected. Let T' be an MST for G'. When we add e to T' and remove the edge with the highest weight on the then introduced cycle in T', forming T, then T is an MST for G.
- Proof idea
 - Adding e must build a cycle because T' is MST over the same V
 - Removing any of the edges on the cycle still leaves a connected tree
 - Removing the most expensive one leaves the minimal tree



Marius Kloft: Alg&DS, Summer Semester 2016

- Note that T' is an MST for G without e
- Imagine we would enumerate edges by some order
- Taking into account a new e allows us to replace an edge in T' with a cheaper one, creating a "better" MST for G
 If e is not the edge with the highest weight on the cycle
- This means that an edge with maximal weight on a cycle in G cannot be part of any MST of G

Content of this Lecture

- Minimal Spanning Trees
- Basic Properties
- Algorithms
 - R.C. Prim: Shortest connection networks and some generalizations.
 Bell System Technical Journal, 1957
 - Also Jarnik, Prim, Dijkstra: Jarník, 1930 Prim, 1957 Dijkstra, 1959
 - J. Kruskal: On the shortest spanning subtree and the traveling salesman problem. Proc. of the American Mathematical Soc., 1956
 - Otakar Borůvka: O jistém problému minimálním (Über ein gewisses Minimierungsproblem), 1926
 - [Wikipedia, OW93, Sed04, Cor03]
- Implementation

Greedy; we never make mistakes

• Prim's Algorithm

Start with an empty tree T. Continue adding the edge e with the lowest cost to T such that e connects T with a new node until all nodes of G are in T. Then T is an MST

- Proof
 - Consider, at each stage, nodes in T as one partition V_1 and all other nodes as the other partition V_2
 - By cut-property lemma, the cheapest crossing-edge between $\rm V_1$ and $\rm V_2$ must be in an MST of G
 - Since we only add those edges, T finally must be an MST

Prim's Algorithm: Example



Prim's Algorithm: Example



Kruskal's Algorithm

- Start with an empty forest F. Continue "adding" edges e to F in order of increasing cost until F becomes a tree. Adding an edge e=(v, w) to F proceeds as follows:
 - Case 1: If F already contains a tree containing both v and w, then e is dropped
 - Case 2: If no tree in F contains either v or w, then a new tree formed by e is added to F
 - Case 3: If F contains a tree T containing either v or w and neither T nor any other tree in F contains the other node, then e is added to T
 - Case 4: If F contains a tree T containing either v or w and a tree T' containing the other node, then T, T' and e are merged into one tree



Kruskal's Algorithm: Example



Proof by Induction (Only Central Idea)

- We show that each of the trees in F is an MST of a subgraph of G
- Claim is true at the beginning (F empty)
- Assume claim holds before we consider next edge e=(v, w)
- Case 1: Claim holds, because e would introduce a cycle, and e has the highest cost on this cycle (all cheaper edges were considered before). Thus, e cannot be in an MST of G
- Case 2: Claim holds because e is the cheapest edge connecting v and w, and thus the new tree is an MST (for subgraph induced by {v,w})
- Case 3: Claim holds because e is the cheapest edge con necting v (or w) and T, and thus the new tree is an MST
- Case 4: Claim holds because e is the cheapest edge connecting T and T', and thus the new tree is an MST

*

Boruvka's Algorithm

Start with an empty forest F. Add all edges (at once) that connect a node with its "cheapest" neighbor (edge with least cost) – taking care of not introducing cycles. Then consider each pair of trees in F and add cheapest crossingedge until F becomes a unique tree.

• Proof (and details) omitted; see [Sed04]

Boruvka's Algorithm: Example



Communalities

- All three algorithms iteratively choose an edge by the cut property or reject an edge by the cycle property
 - Prim: Growing T is one partition, all other nodes the other (isolated nodes)
 - Kruskal: Each T that grows is one partition, all other nodes the other (islands of mini-MSTs)
 - Boruvka: Each T that grows is one partition, all other nodes the other (islands of mini-MSTs)
- Difference is the order in which edges are chosen there are always many candidates
- Differences are the data structures that these algorithms need to maintain

- Minimal Spanning Trees
- Basic Properties
- Algorithms
- Implementation
 - Prim's, Kruskal's

Implementing Prim's Algorithm

- ChooseCheapest: Choose cheapest edge connecting a node in T to a node not yet in T
- Brute force: Search all such edges in every step
- More clever
 - Maintain a PQ of nodes reachable by one edge from T sorted by cost
 - When adding a new node to T, look at its neighbors and add them to the PQ (if not reachable before) or update costs (if now there is a cheaper edge reaching them)

```
G := (V, E);

T := \emptyset;

R := E;

for i = 1 to |V|-1 do

e := chooseCheapest(T, R);

T := T \cup e;

R := R \setminus e;

end for;
```

Example

- T = {A, F, E, B, G}
- PQ = {(D,6), (I, 6), (C, 7)}
- Choose (A-D, 6)

Example

- T = {A, F, E, B, G}
- PQ = {(D,6), (I, 6), (C, 7)}
- Choose (A-D, 6)
- New T: {A, F, E, B, G, D}
- PQ = {(C,4), (I, 6), (H, 18)}

- n=|V|, m=|E|
- Prim' algorithm runs in O((n+m)*log(n))
 - n times through the loop, performing altogether at most m PQoperations in log(n)

Implementing Kruskal's Algorithm

- ChooseCheapest: Simply choose cheapest edge in E
 - I.e., sort E at the beginning
- UNION-FIND data structure
 - Maintains a set of sets (all trees T)
 - Needs a method for quickly finding the set containing a given element (find)
 - Needs a method for quickly merging two sets (union)

T[i] := {i}; end do: repeat (v,w) := chooseCheapest(E); E := E \ (v,w); T := find(v); T' := find (w); if T \neq T' then T := T \cup T'; end if; until |T|=|V|;

G := (V, E);

for i = 1 to |V| do

Can be implemented in O(m*log(n))